arXiv:1605.04065v5 [math.GR] 16 Nov 2016

ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE OF GROUPS REFLECTED IN RANDOM WALK DISTRIBUTIONS

MURRAY ELDER AND CAMERON ROGERS

ABSTRACT. For each measure μ on a finitely generated group, we define a set A_μ consisting of those group elements visited asymptotically as often as the identity by a random walk motivated by μ. When μ is symmetric and non-periodic, A_μ is proved to be an amenable subgroup. Examples are given for which A_μ depends on the measure. We state several conjectures connecting A_μ with the amenable radical. We then extend standard results to obtain an explicit Følner sequence from random walk distributions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we investigate connections between different characterisations of amenability for finitely generated groups, in particular the characterisations of Kesten, Følner and Reiter. Given a group with a measure μ, we isolate a subset A_μ containing elements that, asymptotically, are visited as often as the identity element by the random walk on the group motivated by μ. We prove that when μ is symmetric and non-periodic, the set A_μ is an amenable subgroup, which equals the whole group in the case that the group is amenable. For non-amenable groups we prove that A_μ contains the elliptic radical, and we conjecture that it contains the amenable radical.

We then consider approximations of A_μ formed from the "upper sets" of the random walk distribution, and show that in the case of amenable groups, these sets contain a Følner sequence, by modifying standard proofs that Reiter's condition implies Følner's [3, 9, 13, 17].

Our theoretical results lend themselves to new directions for computer experiments, for example one can potentially test for amenability of a group by computing the number of times a walk visits each generator compared to the identity, and potentially guess the shape of a Følner sequence in an amenable group.

Date: November 17, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65, 43A07, 60B15.
Key words and phrases. finitely generated group; amenable group; symmetric random walk on a group; Følner sequence; amenable radical; Kesten's criterion; Reiter's conditon.
Research supported by Australian Research Council grant FT110100178.

This work is part of the PhD thesis of the second author [16]. We have included statements and explicit proofs of several standard results for completeness. Other relevant work which motivates the present paper and which the authors found extremely useful includes [2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 14, 17, 18].

2. Preliminaries

We begin by outlining the characterizations of amenability and notation pertinent to this paper. The focus of this paper is finitely generated (so discrete) groups, so all definitions will be given for this situation, rather than the more general setting of locally compact groups.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a finitely generated group, and μ a probability measure on the group. The random walk on G motivated by μ is the Markov chain with possible states being the group elements, and where the probability of moving from x to y is $\mu(x^{-1}y)$. It is usual to choose the initial state as the identity. That is, a random walk is performed by starting at the identity, and multiplying on the right by group elements randomly chosen according to μ.

The random walk is said to be *symmetric* if $\mu(x) = \mu(x^{-1})$ for every $x \in G$. The *support* of μ is the set $\{x \in G \mid \mu(x) > 0\}$ which we denote by $\mathrm{supp}(\mu)$.

Recall that the *convolution*, $\mu * \tau$, of two measures μ, τ on a discrete group is defined as $\mu * \tau(y) = \sum_{x \in G} \mu(x)\tau(x^{-1}y)$. The distribution of a n-step random walk motivated by μ is the n^{th} convolution power of μ. We denote this distribution by μ_n.

Definition 2.2. A random walk induced by μ is said to be *non-periodic* if

$$\gcd\{n \mid \mu_n(e) > 0\} = 1.$$

Recall that a function $f : G \to \mathbb{R}$ on a finitely generated group G is an *L^p function*, or $f \in L^p(G)$, if $\sum_{g \in G} |f(g)|^p$ is finite, where p is a natural number.

Definition 2.3. Let G be a group, $k \in G$ and $f \in L^2(G)$. Define an action of the group on L^2 functions by

$$k \cdot f(x) = f(k^{-1}x).$$

This action is called the *left regular representation* of the group.

Note that this action preserves the norm, since

$$\|k \cdot f\|_p^p = \sum_{x \in G} |k \cdot f(x)|^p = \sum_{x \in G} \left|f(k^{-1}x)\right|^p = \sum_{y \in G} |f(y)|^p = \|f\|_p^p .$$

In this article we wish to consider probability measures μ that satisfy the following properties.

Definition 2.4 (Properties of a measure μ)**.** A measure μ is *good* if

(1) μ is symmetric;
(2) $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ generates G;
(3) the walk associated to μ is non-periodic;
(4) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the measure μ_n is maximised at e;
(5) $\mu_n(e)$ is non-increasing.

The next lemma, using standard results (see [2]), shows how one can readily obtain a good measure from a given probability measure on a group.

Lemma 2.5. *If μ is a symmetric probability measure on G whose support generates G and such that $\mu(e) > 0$, then $\tau = \mu_2$ is a good measure.*

Proof. Clearly μ_2 is symmetric since

$$\mu_2(x^{-1}) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu(g)\mu(g^{-1}x^{-1}) = \sum_{h \in G} \mu(x^{-1}h)\mu(h^{-1})$$

(setting $g = x^{-1}h$)

$$= \sum_{h \in G} \mu(h^{-1}x)\mu(h) = \mu_2(x).$$

Since $\mu(e) > 0$ the support of μ_2 includes the support of μ which generates the group by hypothesis. Since $\mu_2(e) \geq \mu(e)\mu(e) > 0$ the walk motivated by μ_2 is non-periodic.

Observe that

$$\mu_{2n}(e) = \sum_{x \in G} \mu_n(x)\mu_n(x^{-1}) = \sum_{x \in G} \mu_n(x)^2 = \|\mu_n\|_2^2 .$$

For $x \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{2n}(x) &= \sum_{g \in G} \mu_n(g)\mu_n(g^{-1}x) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu_n(g)\mu_n(x^{-1}g) \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \mu_n(g)\left(x \cdot \mu_n(g)\right) = \langle \mu_n, x \cdot \mu_n \rangle \\
&\leq \|\mu_n\|_2 \, \|x \cdot \mu_n\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

(Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$= \|\mu_n\|_2^2 = \mu_{2n}(e)$$

so $\mu_2 = \tau$ is maximised at e.

Finally we have

$$\mu_{2n+2}(e) = \sum_{g \in G} \mu_{2n}(g)\mu_2(g^{-1}) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \mu_{2n}(e)\mu_2(g^{-1})$$

$$=\mu_{2n}(e)\sum_{g\in G}\mu_2(g^{-1})=\mu_{2n}(e)$$

so $\tau_n(e)$ is non-increasing. □

Example 2.6. For a finitely generated group with symmetric finite generating set S an obvious choice for μ is the measure giving equal weight to all generators in S. The random walk motivated by μ corresponds exactly with performing a simple random walk on the Cayley graph of the group with respect to S. By choosing S to include the identity, a good measure is easily obtained using the previous lemma.

2.1. **Kesten's criteria.** Kesten [12, 11] characterised amenability in terms of the likelihood that a random walk on the group returns to its starting point in n steps.

Definition 2.7. A discrete group G is amenable if and only if for every symmetric probability measure μ,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left(\mu_n(e)\right)^{1/n}=1.$$

Remark 2.8. Avez [2] showed that the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mu_{2n+2}(e)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}$$

exists and is equal to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\mu_{2n}(e)\right)^{1/2n}$$

for any symmetric probability measure on any finitely generated group. Thus $\frac{\mu_{2n+2}(e)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}\to 1$ if and only if the group is amenable. The necessity of considering only even steps in the random walk arises from the possibility of the periodicity of the random walk. If, as in this paper, we restrict ourselves to non-periodic random walks, we have

$$\frac{\mu_{n+1}(e)}{\mu_n(e)}\to 1$$

if and only if the group is amenable. Such a group is said to have *sub-exponentially decaying* return probability.

2.2. **Følners criteria.** Følners characterization of amenability [7] can be phrased in several ways. Here we give the definition in terms of symmetric difference, and for finitely generated groups.

Definition 2.9. A finitely generated group G is amenable if there exists a sequence of finite subsets F_n such that

$$\sum_{k\in K}\frac{|kF_n\triangle F_n|}{|F_n|}\to 0$$

for every finite set K.

We note that, for a finitely generated group it is sufficient for K to be a given finite generating set (rather than considering all finite K).

2.3. **Reiter's criteria.** Reiter [15] characterises amenability in terms of almost invariant functions in $L^p(G)$.

Definition 2.10. A finitely generated group is said to have property P_p if for any finite set K there exists a sequence of unit vectors f_n in $L^p(G)$ such that

$$\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_p \to 0$$

for every $k \in K$.

As with Følner's condition it is sufficient to choose a finite generating set for K. This is proved indirectly in Proposition 4.1 below.

Theorem 8.3.2 in [15] shows that all the P_p properties are equivalent. Each of them is equivalent to amenability. In this paper we use the equivalence of P_1 and P_2. A proof is reproduced here.

Lemma 2.11 (Special case of [15] Theorem 8.3.2)**.** *Let G be a group, and suppose that f_n is a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors in $L^2(G)$. Let f_n^2 denote the function f_n squared point-wise. Then f_n^2 is a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors in $L^1(G)$*

Proof. Let K be a finite subset of G and $f_n \in L^2(G)$ such that $\|f_n\|_2 = 1$ and $\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_2 \to 0$ for all $k \in K$.

Now

$$\left\|f_n^2\right\|_1 = \sum_{x \in G} \left|(f_n(x))^2\right| = \|f_n\|_2^2 = 1$$

so f_n^2 is a unit vector in $L^1(G)$.

We now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|k \cdot f_n^2 - f_n^2\right\|_1 &= \left\|(k \cdot f)_n^2 - f_n^2\right\|_1 \\
&= \|k \cdot f_n(k \cdot f_n - f_n) + f_n(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_1 \\
&\leq \|k \cdot f_n(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_1 + \|f_n(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_1 \\
&\qquad \text{(triangle inequality)} \\
&\leq \|k \cdot f_n\|_2 \, \|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2 + \|f_n\|_2 \, \|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2 \\
&\qquad \text{(Hölder's inequality)} \\
&= \|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2 + \|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

(since f_n and its translates are unit L^2 vectors)

Therefore, $\|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2 < \epsilon$ implies $\left\|(k \cdot f_n^2 - f_n^2)\right\|_1 < 2\epsilon$. Since $\|(k \cdot f_n - f_n)\|_2 \to 0$ we have proved the result. □

Recall that for any group, the *amenable radical* is its largest normal amenable subgroup, see for example [8].

3. Defining the set A_μ

Reiter's condition states that the existence of almost invariant functions is equivalent to amenability. The distributions of a random walk after time n provide a sequence of functions on the group. These are not unit vectors, however, and there is no guarantee that when normalised this sequence provides the almost invariant functions required by Reiters condition. Indeed, for non-amenable groups random walk distributions can not possibly be almost invariant.

For any sequence of normalised L^2 functions we may ask for which group elements is the sequence almost invariant under translation. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a finitely generated group and let μ be a good measure. Set $\xi_n = \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2}$, that is, μ_n normalised to be a unit vector. Then

$$A_{G,\mu} = \{g \in G \mid \|g \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 \to 0\}.$$

When it is well understood which group is being used the set will be referred to as A_μ.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group, and μ be a good measure. Then*

$$A_\mu = \left\{ g \in G \mid \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 2.5 we have $\mu_{2n}(g) = \langle g \cdot \mu_n, \mu_n \rangle$ and $\mu_{2n}(e) = \|\mu_n\|_2^2$, so

$$\frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = \frac{\langle g \cdot \mu_n, \mu_n \rangle}{\|\mu_n\|_2^2} = \left\langle g \cdot \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2}, \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2} \right\rangle = \langle g \cdot \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle.$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2^2 &= \sum_{h\in G} (g \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n)^2(h) \\
&= \sum_{h\in G} (g \cdot \xi_n)^2(h) - 2\sum_{h\in G} (g \cdot \xi_n)(h)\xi_n(h) + \sum_{h\in G} (\xi_n)^2(h) \\
&= \|g \cdot \xi_n\|_2^2 - 2\langle g \cdot \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle + \|\xi_n\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 - 2\langle g \cdot \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle$$

since $\|\xi_n\|_2 = \|g \cdot \xi_n\|_2 = 1$.

Then the left hand side approaches 0 if and only if $\langle g \cdot \xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}$ approaches 1. □

Lemma 3.3 ([2] Lemme 5)**.** *Let G be a finitely generated amenable group, μ a good measure, and $g \in G$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n(g)}{\mu_n(e)}$$

is either 0 or 1.

Proof. If $\mu_n(g) = 0$ for all n then the limit is 0. Thus suppose $\mu_r(g) > 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If the limit does not equal 1, then there exists $a > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n'\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 < a &\leq \mu_r(g)\left(1 - \frac{\mu_{n'}(g)}{\mu_{n'}(e)}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{h\in G} \mu_r(h)\left(1 - \frac{\mu_{n'}(h)}{\mu_{n'}(e)}\right) \\
&\quad \left(\tfrac{\mu_{n'}(g)}{\mu_{n'}(e)}, \tfrac{\mu_{n'}(h)}{\mu_{n'}(e)} \leq 1 \text{ by definition of good measure}\right) \\
&= \sum_{h\in G} \mu_r(h) - \frac{\sum_{h\in G} \mu_r(h)\mu_{n'}(h)}{\mu_{n'}(e)} \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{\mu_{n'}(e)} \sum_{h\in G} \mu_r(h^{-1})\mu_{n'}(h) \\
&= 1 - \frac{\mu_{n'+r}(e)}{\mu_{n'}(e)}
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 2.8 the right hand side limits to 0 in an amenable group, which gives a contradiction. □

Remark 3.4. For a non-amenable group and good measure μ, the ratio $\frac{\mu_{n+1}(e)}{\mu_n(e)}$ also has a limit by Remark 2.8, which is some number less than 1. We tried to adapt the previous proof to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n(g)}{\mu_n(e)}$$

exists for any element g and good measure. We firmly believe this to be true, but have not been able to find a proof.

Lemma 3.5 ([2] Théorème 1)**.** *If G is amenable, then $A_\mu = G$.*

Proof. Suppose $g \in G \setminus A_\mu$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = 0$ by Lemma 3.3, so there exist $p_1 \in (0,1)$ and $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > N_1$ implies that

$$\mu_{2n}(g) < p_1 \mu_{2n}(e)$$

Furthermore, since the walk is not periodic and the support of the measure generates the group, there exists N_2 with $\mu_n(g) > 0$ for every $n > N_2$. We now choose N equal to the maximum of N_1 and N_2.

For each $h \in G$ let $p_h = \mu_{2N}(h)$. So $1 > p_g > 0$.

Then

$$\mu_{(2k+2)N}(e) = \mu_{2kN}(e) - \sum_{h\in G} p_h \mu_{2kN}(e) + \sum_{h\in G} p_h \mu_{2kN}(h)$$

(for each h, p_h of the mass at e is lost to h, and by symmetry of the random walk the same proportion of the mass at h is carried back from h to e in $2N$ steps)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu_{2kN}(e) - \sum_{h\in G} p_h \left(\mu_{2kN}(e) - \mu_{2kN}(h)\right) \\ &\leq \mu_{2kN}(e) - p_g \left(\mu_{2kN}(e) - \mu_{2kN}(g)\right) \end{aligned}$$

(since the mass at e is always not less than that at any h, we can remove all terms except the term for g and get an inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mu_{2kN}(e) - p_g \mu_{2kN}(e) + p_g \mu_{2kN}(g) \\ &\leq \mu_{2kN}(e) - p_g \mu_{2kN}(e) + p_g p_1 \mu_{2kN}(e) \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{(using } \mu_{2n}(g) < p_1 \mu_{2n}(e) \text{ from above)} \\ &\leq \mu_{2kN}(e)\left(1 - p_g + p_g p_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{(2k+2)N}(e)}{\mu_{2kN}(e)} \leq (1 - p_g + p_g p_1) < 1$$

which means that the walk induced by μ has an exponential rate of decay in return probability, which contradicts Kesten's criterion. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let S be the support of $\mu = \{s \in G \mid \mu(s) > 0\}$. If $S \subseteq A_\mu$ then G is amenable.*

Proof. As mentioned above, when A_μ is defined in terms of invariant functions this result follows immediately from Reiter's condition. Alternatively

$$\mu_{n+1}(e) = \sum_{s\in S} \mu_n(s)\mu(s^{-1}) = \sum_{s\in S} \mu_n(s)\mu(s)$$

(measure is symmetric)

so

$$\frac{\mu_{2n+2}(e)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = \sum_{s\in S} \frac{\mu_{2n}(s)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}\mu(s) \to \sum_{s\in S} \mu(s) = 1$$

□

We have now shown

Proposition 3.7. *G is amenable if and only if $A_\mu = G$ if and only if every element in the support of μ is in A_μ.*

This gives the following characterisation of amenability: a finitely generated group is non-amenable if and only if there exists a symmetric non-periodic probability measure μ so that for some generator $s \in \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$

$$\frac{\mu_{2n}(s)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} \not\to 1.$$

4. Algebraic properties of A_μ

The set A_μ which is defined purely in terms of convergence properties of the random walk turns out to enjoy some nice algebraic properties. We start with an observation which implies, for one thing, that A_μ is in fact a subgroup.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group, μ a good measure on G, and $\xi_n = \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2}$. Then for every $h \in A_\mu$, and every $x \in G$ for which $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2$ exists,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|hx\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|xh\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 .$$

Proof. By the triangle inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\|h\cdot(x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 - \|h\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2| &\le \|hx\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 \\ &= \|h\cdot(x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n) + h\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 \\ &\le \|h\cdot(x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 + \|h\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 . \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of A_μ we have that $\|h\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 \to 0$. Also, since the L^2 norm is invariant under translation $\|h\cdot(x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 = \|x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2$. Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|hx\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 .$$

Likewise, by the triangle inequality we have that

$$|\|x\cdot(h\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 - \|x\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2| \le \|xh\cdot\xi_n - \xi_n\|_2$$

$$= \|x \cdot (h \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n) + x \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2$$
$$\leq \|x \cdot (h \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n)\|_2 + \|x \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2$$

so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|xh \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|x \cdot \xi_n - \xi_n\|_2 .$$

□

Setting $x, h \in A_\mu$ implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group, and μ a good measure on G. Then A_μ is a subgroup.*

Remark 4.3. Proposition 4.1 implies more than A_μ being a subgroup. Using the results from Proposition 3.2 we see that Proposition 4.1 is equivalent to the following. For G a finitely generated group and μ a good measure, $h \in A_\mu$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(hg)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(gh)}{\mu_{2n}(e)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}$$

for every g where $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}(g)}{\mu_{2n}(e)}$ exists. As stated in Remark 3.4 above we believe this limit does exist for every $g \in G$. Under this assumption, we have that, asymptotically, the random walk 'flattens' on the left and right cosets of A_μ. That is, asymptotically the probability of the random walk ending at any point in a coset xA_μ or $A_\mu x$ is the same as ending at x.

The following result will imply the amenability of A_μ.

Proposition 4.4. *Let G be a finitely generated group and H a subgroup of G. Suppose that for any finite set $K \subset H$ there exists a sequence of functions $f_n \in L^2(G)$ such that $\|f_n\|_2 = 1$ and $\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_2 \to 0$ for every $k \in K$. Then H is an amenable subgroup of G.*

Proof. We note that the hypotheses of the proposition have a strong resemblance to Reiter's criteria for amenability. Indeed, for $H = G$ this is exactly Reiter's characterization of amenability in terms of the property P_2.

By the hypotheses we are given vectors on G which are almost invariant under translation by elements of H. We need, however, to prove the existence of unit vectors in $L^2(H)$ which are almost invariant under translation by elements of H.

Fix finite set $K \subset H$ and corresponding sequence of unit vectors f_n.

Choose a set $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ of right coset representatives for H. The set of coset representatives is countable and will be denoted $\mathbb{N}$.

Define $\phi_{n,s} : G \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\phi_{n,s}(x) = \begin{cases} f_n(x), & \text{if } x \in Hs \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

That is, $\phi_{n,s}$ agrees with f_n on Hs and is zero elsewhere. Then

$$f_n = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{n,s}.$$

Since H is a subgroup of G translation by $k^{-1} \in H$ on the left will preserve the right cosets. Hence

$$k \cdot f_n = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} k \cdot \phi_{n,s}.$$

From each of the $\phi_{n,s}$ we define a corresponding function $\psi_{n,s} \in L^2(H)$ by $\psi_{n,s}(h) = \phi_{n,s}(hs)$. Note that the norm of $\psi_{n,s}$ in $L^2(H)$ is equal to the norm of $\phi_{n,s}$ in $L^2(G)$. We denote this norm by

$$a_{n,s} = \|\phi_{n,s}\|_2$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (a_{n,s})^2 = \|f_n\|_2^2 = 1.$$

We now put this all together:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_2^2 &= \left\| \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} k \cdot \phi_{n,s} - \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \phi_{n,s} \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (k \cdot \phi_{n,s} - \phi_{n,s}) \right\|_2^2 \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left[(k \cdot \phi_{n,s} - \phi_{n,s})(x) \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{x \in G} \left[(k \cdot \phi_{n,s} - \phi_{n,s})(x) \right]^2 \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{y \in H} \left[(k \cdot \phi_{n,s} - \phi_{n,s})(ys) \right]^2 \\
&\qquad \text{(since } \phi_{n,s} \text{ is zero outside the } s\text{-coset)} \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (a_{n,s})^2 \sum_{y \in H} \left[\left(k \cdot \frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}} - \frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}} \right)(ys) \right]^2
\end{aligned}$$

Now if for all s we have

$$\sum_{y\in H}\left[\left(k\cdot\frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}}-\frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}}\right)(ys)\right]^2\geq\epsilon$$

then the above equation becomes

$$\|k\cdot f_n-f_n\|_2^2\geq\epsilon\sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}}(a_{n,s})^2=\epsilon.$$

Therefore, $\|k\cdot f_n-f_n\|_2^2<\epsilon$ implies there exists s such that

$$\sum_{y\in H}\left[\left(k\cdot\frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}}-\frac{\phi_{n,s}}{a_{n,s}}\right)(ys)\right]^2<\epsilon.$$

Since, by the hypothesis, $\|k\cdot f_n-f_n\|_2^2$ limits to zero for every k in the finite set K, there exists a sequence ϕ_{n,s_n} for which

$$\sum_{y\in H}\left[\left(k\cdot\frac{\phi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}-\frac{\phi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}\right)(ys)\right]^2\to 0. \tag{1}$$

for every $k\in K$.

Rewriting in terms of corresponding functions in $L^2(H)$,

$$\sum_{y\in H}\left[\left(k\cdot\frac{\psi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}-\frac{\psi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}\right)(y)\right]^2=\left\|k\cdot\frac{\psi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}-\frac{\psi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}\right\|_2^2\to 0$$

and so $\frac{\psi_{n,s_n}}{a_{n,s_n}}$ supplies a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors in $L^2(H)$, and H is amenable. □

By the definition of A_μ, $\frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|}$ are a sequence of functions invariant under translation by elements of A_μ. Then from Proposition 4.4, we have

Corollary 4.5. *Let G be a finitely generated group, and μ a good measure on G. Then A_μ is amenable.*

That A_μ is an amenable subgroup does not preclude it being trivial for all non-amenable G, nor does it guarantee that A_μ reflects any of the underlying structure of G. In the next sections we start to collect evidence that A_μ is indeed an interesting amenable subgroup of any group.

5. Finite normal subgroups

Recall that the *elliptic radical* of a finitely generated group G is the largest normal, locally finite subgroup of G (see for example [4]). It is the group generated by all finite normal subgroups of G, and is contained in the amenable radical. We now prove a result which implies that for any good measure μ on a finitely generated group G the elliptic radical $R_{\mathscr{E}}$ is contained in A_μ.

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group and μ a good measure on G. Then A_μ contains every finite normal subgroup of G.*

Proof. Let F be a finite normal subgroup of G. Since the support of μ generates the group and F is finite, we may assume without loss of generality that $F \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$.

Let $S = \text{supp}(\mu)$. Recall that each random walk involves starting at the identity and multiplying on the right by an element chosen according to μ. We label each group element g visited by the random walk by a pair (h, f) where $h \in \langle S \setminus F \rangle$ and $f \in F$ with $g = hf$ as follows. The element visited by the walk at step 0 is labeled by the pair (e, e). If at step n the label is (h, f) and $x \in F$ then the pair at step n is labeled (h, fx). If at step n the label is (h, f) and $x \in F$ then the pair at step n is labeled $(hx, x^{-1}fx)$. Note that the second coordinate remains in F by normality, and the first coordinate stays in $\langle S \setminus F \rangle$ (which may or may not include elements of F other that e).

So we can think of the random walk as two random processes – one on each coordinate. The process on the first coordinate is a random walk on $\langle S \setminus F \rangle$ starting at e and multiplying by $x \in S \setminus F$ with probability $\mu(x)$, and multiplying by e with probability $\mu(F)$. Let ϕ_n denote the distribution of the first coordinate after n steps.

The process on the second coordinate is a Markov chain on the state space F where each move corresponds either to a right multiplication by $f \in F$ or to a conjugation by some element of $S \setminus F$. Let τ_n denote the distribution of the second coordinate after n steps. We will prove that τ_n approaches the uniform distribution of F using standard Markov chain theory (see for example [5] for further information).

Let $\Pr(f \to g)$ be the probability of moving from state f to g in one step of the Markov chain. If the step from f to g is a conjugation by x (ie $xfx^{-1} = g$) then $x^{-1}gx = f$ so $\Pr(f \to g) = Pr(g \to f)$ since μ is symmetric. Otherwise, the step is induced by right mulitplication and clearly $\Pr(f \to g) = \Pr(g \to f)$ (since $g = fx$ only if $f = gx^{-1}$). It follows that the chain satisfies the *detailed balance* condition for the uniform measure $\pi = \frac{1}{|F|}$, ie

$$\pi(f) \Pr(f \to g) = \pi(g) \Pr(g \to f)$$

and so π is a stationary distribution on F, that is

$$\pi(f) = \sum_{y \in F} \pi(y) \Pr(y \to f).$$

Since $e \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ the Markov process on F is *aperiodic*, and since F is finite the process is *irreducible*. Then by the Fundamental Theorem

of Markov chains (see for example [5] Theorem 3.12) τ_n converges to the unique stationary distribution π.

Now consider an n step walk of the walk motivated by μ which ends at some $f \in F$. We have

$$\mu_n(f) = \sum_{g \in G} \phi_n(g).\tau_n(g^{-1}f)$$

since to end at f we must have first coordinate g and second coordinate $g^{-1}f$ in the pair representation. Note that if $g \notin F$ then neither is $g^{-1}f$ and so $\tau_n(g^{-1}f) = 0$, so we can write

$$\mu_n(f) = \sum_{g \in F} \phi_n(g).\tau_n(g^{-1}f).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n(f)}{\mu_n(e)} &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)\tau_n(g^{-1}f)}{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)\tau_n(g^{-1})} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)\pi(g^{-1}f)}{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)\pi(g^{-1})} \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)}{\sum_{g\in F} \phi_n(g)} \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group, and let μ be a good measure on G. Then the elliptic radical $R_{\mathscr{E}}$ is contained in A_μ.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 7.1 and Proposition 4.1. □

Corollary 5.2 is notable for two reasons. Firstly it shows that, whenever a finitely generated group contains a finite normal subgroup, A_μ is non-trivial. Secondly, this result is independent of μ. This is an indication that A_μ is more than just some arbitrary amenable subgroup.

6. Examples

Recall that non-abelian free groups have no nontrivial amenable normal subgroups. That is, the amenable radical is trivial.

Lemma 6.1. *The free group of rank at least 2 with free basis generators including a, b, with any good measure μ satisfying $\mu(e) > 0$ and $\mu(a) = \mu(b) > 0$, has trivial A_μ.*

Proof. Let $u \in \{a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}\}^+$. If $u \in A_\mu$ then by interchanging $a^{\pm 1}$ with $b^{\pm 1}$ we obtain a word v which also lies in A_μ by symmetry of the measure with respect to the generators a, b. If u, v are not powers of the same element, in which case they generate a free group of rank 2, and since A_μ is an amenable subgroup it must be trivial. Otherwise if u, v generate a cyclic group, choose instead to replace $a^{\pm 1}$ by $b^{\mp 1}$. □

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose G, H are finitely generated groups with good measures ϕ and ψ respectively. Recall that the product measure μ on $G \times H$ is defined by*

$$\mu(x, y) = \phi(x)\psi(y).$$

Then

$$A_\mu = A_\phi \times A_\psi.$$

Proof. To prove this we first note that $\mu_n(x, y) = \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$. This may be shown inductively. It is true for $n = 1$ by definition, and $\mu_n(x, y) = \phi_n(x)\psi_n(y)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n+1}(x, y) &= \sum_{(g,h)\in G\times H} \mu_n(g, h)\mu(g^{-1}x, h^{-1}y) \\
&= \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{h\in H} \left[\phi_n(g)\psi_n(h)\right]\left[\phi(g^{-1}x)\psi(h^{-1}y)\right] \\
&= \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{h\in H} \left[\phi_n(g)\phi(g^{-1}x)\right]\left[\psi_n(h)\psi(h^{-1}y)\right] \\
&= \sum_{g\in G} \phi_n(g)\phi(g^{-1}x) \sum_{h\in H} \psi_n(h)\psi(h^{-1}y) \\
&= \phi_{n+1}(x)\psi_{n+1}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n(g, h)}{\mu_n(e_G, e_H)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\phi_n(g)\psi_n(h)}{\phi_n(e_G)\psi_n(e_H)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\phi_n(g)}{\phi_n(e_G)} \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\psi_n(h)}{\psi_n(e_H)}$$

from which the result follows. □

Example 6.3. Let F be a free group of rank at least 2 with free basis generators including a, b with a good measure $\phi(e) > 0$ and $\phi(a) = \phi(b) > 0$, H an amenable group with good measure ψ, and μ be the product measure on $F \times H$. Then $A_\mu = H$, which is exactly the amenable radical of $F \times H$.

In light of these examples and the fact that A_μ contains all normal finite subgroups, one might ask whether A_μ is in fact always the amenable radical. If so, this would imply for one thing that the set A_μ is invariant under choice of good measure. It turns out that this is not the case – in the next section

we give an example where the amenable radical is trivial but A_μ is not. Moreover we show that A_μ depends on the choice of good measure.

7. Dependence on the measure

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite subgroup F. Then there exists a good measure ψ such that $F \subset A_\psi$.*

Proof. Let S be a finite, symmetric generating set containing the identity and let F be a finite subgroup of G. Take ψ to be the uniform measure on FSF. Clearly ψ is symmetric, and the support of ψ contains the identity. Also $\psi(x) = \psi(fx) = \psi(xf)$ for every $f \in F$.

Also for $f \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n(f) &= \sum_{x\in G} \psi(x)\psi_{n-1}(x^{-1}f) \\ &= \sum_{x\in G} \psi(f^{-1}x)\psi_{n-1}(x^{-1}f) \\ &= \psi_n(e).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $F \subset A_\psi$. □

Corollary 7.2. *There exists finitely generated groups G and good measures μ, τ so that $A_{G,\mu} \neq A_{G,\tau}$.*

Proof. Consider the free product $G = \langle a \mid a^2 = 1\rangle * \langle b \mid b^3 = 1\rangle$. By Proposition 7.1 there are measures μ, τ so that $a \in A_\mu$ and $b \in A_\tau$. If $A_\mu = A_\tau$ then $A_\mu = \langle a, b\rangle = G$ which is a contradiction since G is not amenable. Other examples are readily constructed from free products of finite groups.

□

The same example also gives

Corollary 7.3. *There exists a finitely generated group and good measure μ so that A_μ is not equal to the amenable radical.*

Proof. Since $C_2 * C_3$ contains finite subgroups, we may use the arguments from Proposition 7.1 to construct a measure μ for which A_μ is non-trivial. However, $C_2 * C_3$ has a trivial amenable radical. This follows from the fact that it is C^*-simple [1], or by considering the action of the group on a tree. If N is a normal amenable subgroup of a group acting on a tree, then by normality and the Tits alternative it fixes all vertices in G/A (or G/B), or all edges, or a G-orbit of ends. Since the G-action on the space of ends is minimal, this implies in all 3 cases that N is trivial. □

In particular, A_μ is not always a normal amenable subgroup.

8. Connection to the amenable radical

In all cases considered, A_μ always *contains* the amenable radical. If this were true for all good measures μ, the next results give a way to directly link the amenable radical with random walk distributions.

Lemma 8.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group and μ a good measure. For every $x \in G$ define $\mu^g(x) = \mu(g^{-1}xg)$. Then μ^g is a good measure and $A_{\mu^g} = gA_\mu g^{-1}$.*

Proof. Since μ^g is just the push-forward of μ under an inner-automorphism, it is easy to check that the good properties of μ are inherited by μ^g.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
{\mu^g}_2(y) &= \sum_{x\in G} \mu^g(x)\mu^g(x^{-1}y) \\
&= \sum_{x\in G} \mu(g^{-1}xg)\mu(g^{-1}x^{-1}yg) \\
&= \mu_2(g^{-1}yg).
\end{aligned}$$

Using an inductive argument it is clear that

$${\mu^g}_n(y) = \mu_n(g^{-1}yg).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in A_{\mu^g} &\iff \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{{\mu^g}_n(x)}{{\mu^g}_n(e)} = 1 \\
&\iff \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_n(g^{-1}xg)}{\mu_n(e)} = 1 \\
&\iff g^{-1}xg \in A_\mu \\
&\iff x \in gA_\mu g^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 8.2. *Let G be a finitely generated group. If $x \in G$ does not belong to the amenable radical then for any good measure μ there exists a $g \in G$ such that $x \notin A_{\mu^g}$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that $x \in G$ belongs to A_μ for every good measure μ. Then for some fixed μ, by the previous lemma we have $x \in A_{\mu^g} = gA_\mu g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Thus

$$x \in \bigcap_{g\in G} gA_\mu g^{-1}$$

which is a normal amenable subgroup, hence x belongs to the amenable radical. □

We now summarise our suspicions as follows.

Conjecture 8.3. *Let G be a finitely generated group. Then for any good measure μ on G, the subgroup A_μ contains the amenable radical.*

If true, Proposition 8.2 would imply that the amenable radical is equal to the intersection of A_μ over all good measures μ.

Even more desirable would be the following.

Conjecture 8.4. *Let G be a finitely generated group. Then there exists some μ such that A_μ is the amenable radical.*

9. Finding an explicit Følner sequence

Motivation for this paper came from work on experimentally determining the "shape" of Følner sequences (see [16]). It is well known that, for an amenable group, there exists a Følner sequence within the level sets of a sequence of L^1 almost invariant functions (see for example [3] (Theorem G.5.1), [9], [13], [17]). In this section we modify these proofs to produce a more explicit sequence based on finite approximations of the set A_μ.

Definition 9.1. Let $p \in (0,1)$ and μ a good measure on G. Define sets

$$A_{\mu,n,p} = \left\{ g \in G \mid \frac{\mu_n(g)}{\mu_n(e)} > p \right\}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{p\to 1} \lim_{n\to\infty} A_{\mu,n,p} = A_\mu$$

and in this sense the sets $A_{\mu,n,p}$ approximate A_μ.

We wish to show that $A_{\mu,n,p}$ is a Følner sequence for some fixed $p \in (0,1)$. We make use of the following.

Lemma 9.2 ([3] Lemma G.5.2)**.** *Let G be a finitely generated group, S a finite generating set, and $f, f' \in L^1(G)$ non-negative functions. For each $t \geq 0$ we define $E_t = \{x \in G \mid f(x) \geq t\}$ and $E'_t = \{x \in G \mid f'(x) \geq t\}$.*

Then

$$\left\|f - f'\right\|_1 = \int_0^\infty \left|E_t \triangle E'_t\right| dt.$$

In particular, for $f' = 0$

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |E_t|\, dt.$$

Proof. Let χ_t be the characteristic function of $[t, \infty)$. Then $\chi_t \circ f(x)$ is 1 if $x \in E_t$, and zero elsewhere. Hence $|\chi_t \circ f - \chi_t \circ f'|$ is the characteristic function of $E_t \triangle E'_t$ and

$$\left\|\chi_t \circ f - \chi_t \circ f'\right\|_1 = \left|E_t \triangle E'_t\right|$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \left|E_t \triangle E_t'\right| dt &= \int_0^\infty \left\|\chi_t \circ f - \chi_t \circ f'\right\|_1 dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{x \in G} \left|\chi_t \circ f(x) - \chi_t \circ f'(x)\right| \right) dt \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\chi_t \circ f(x) - \chi_t \circ f'(x)\right| dt \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \left(\int_0^{\min\{f(x), f'(x)\}} \left|\chi_t \circ f(x) - \chi_t \circ f'(x)\right| dt \right. \\
&\qquad + \int_{\min\{f(x), f'(x)\}}^{\max\{f(x), f'(x)\}} \left|\chi_t \circ f(x) - \chi_t \circ f'(x)\right| dt \\
&\qquad \left. + \int_{\max\{f(x), f'(x)\}}^{\infty} \left|\chi_t \circ f(x) - \chi_t \circ f'(x)\right| dt \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \left(\int_0^{\min\{f(x), f'(x)\}} 0 \; dt \right. \\
&\qquad + \int_{\min\{f(x), f'(x)\}}^{\max\{f(x), f'(x)\}} 1 \; dt \\
&\qquad \left. + \int_{\max\{f(x), f'(x)\}}^{\infty} 0 \; dt \right) \\
&= \sum_{x \in G} \left|f(x) - f'(x)\right| \\
&= \left\|f(x) - f'(x)\right\|_1
\end{aligned}$$

□

The arguments in [3, 9, 13, 17] can be used to show that there exists some sequence n_i and p_{n_i} such that $A_{\mu,n_i,p_{n_i}}$ is a Følner sequence. Here we prove that there exists a fixed p such that the sets $A_{\mu,n,p}$ contain a Følner sequence.

Proposition 9.3. *Let G be a finitely generated amenable group with μ a good measure and $S = \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ finite. Then there exists $p \in (0,1)$ such that $A_{\mu,n,p}$ is a Følner sequence.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.5 we know that for every generator $k \in S$

$$\left\| k \cdot \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2} - \frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2} \right\|_2 \to 0.$$

From Lemma 2.11 we know that

$$f_n = \left(\frac{\mu_n}{\|\mu_n\|_2} \right)^2$$

is a unit L_1 function where

$$\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_1 \to 0.$$

for every $k \in S$.

Hence

$$\sum_{k \in S} \|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_1 \to 0.$$

As in Lemma 9.2 we let

$$E_{n,t} = \{x \in G \mid f_n(x) \geq t\}.$$

Also, let

$$E'_{n,t} = \{x \in G \mid k \cdot f_n(x) \geq t\}.$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} kE_{n,t} &= \{kx \in G \mid f_n(x) \geq t\} \\ &= \left\{y \in G \mid f_n(k^{-1}y) \geq t\right\} \\ &= \{y \in G \mid k \cdot f_n(y) \geq t\} \\ &= E'_{n,t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 9.2 we know that

$$\|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_1 = \int_0^\infty |kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}| \, dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in S} \|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_1 &= \sum_{k \in S} \left(\int_0^\infty |kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}| \, dt \right) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{k \in S} |kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}| \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty |E_{n,t}| \left(\sum_{k \in S} \frac{|kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}|}{|E_{n,t}|} \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that for some pair of sequences a_n and b_n

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| dt \neq 0$$

and that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t_n \in (a_n, b_n)$

$$\sum_{k \in S} \frac{|kE_{n,t_n} \triangle E_{n,t_n}|}{|E_{n,t_n}|} > \epsilon.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in S} \|k \cdot f_n - f_n\|_1 &= \int_0^\infty |E_{n,t}| \left(\sum_{k,s} \frac{|kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}|}{|E_{n,t}|} \right) dt \\
&\geq \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| \left(\sum_{k,s} \frac{|kE_{n,t} \triangle E_{n,t}|}{|E_{n,t}|} \right) dt \\
&> \epsilon \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| dt \\
&\not\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| dt \neq 0$$

there exists a sequence t_n such that $t_n \in (a_n, b_n)$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k \in S} \frac{|kE_{n,t_n} \triangle E_{n,t_n}|}{|E_{n,t_n}|} = 0,$$

which implies E_{n,t_n} is a Følner sequence.

Note that given such a sequence of bounds, a_n and b_n, one can always find narrower bounds for t by bifurcating the intervals (a_n, b_n). That is, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| dt \neq 0$$

then either

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a_n}^{\frac{a_n + b_n}{2}} |E_{n,t}| dt \neq 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\frac{a_n + b_n}{2}}^{b_n} |E_{n,t}| dt \neq 0.$$

Recall from Lemma 9.2 that

$$\int_0^\infty |E_{n,t}| dt = \|f_n\|_1 = 1.$$

Note that $E_{n,t}$ is empty when t exceeds the maximum value of the function f_n, $f_n(e)$. Hence,

$$\int_0^{f_n(e)} |E_{n,t}|dt = \|f_n\|_1 = 1.$$

Iterating the process of bifurcation outlined above starting with $a_n = 0$ and $b_n = f_n(e)$ gives a sequence of intervals, (p_i, q_i) for which p_i and q_i are diadic rationals, the intersection of (p_i, q_i) is a unique point $p \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{p_i f_n(e)}^{q_i f_n(e)} |E_{n,t}|dt \neq 0$$

for every i.

It follows that for a given i, there exists a sequence t_n where $t_n \in (p_i f_n(e), q_i f_n(e))$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k\in S} \frac{|kE_{n,t_n} \triangle E_{n,t_n}|}{|E_{n,t_n}|} = 0$$

Hence $E_{n,pf_n(e)}$ is a Følner sequence.

Finally, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{n,pf_n(e)} &= \{g \in G \mid f_n(g) > pf_n(e)\} \\
&= \left\{ g \in G \mid \left(\frac{\mu_n(g)}{\|\mu_n\|_2}\right)^2 > p\left(\frac{\mu_n(e)}{\|\mu_n\|_2}\right)^2 \right\} \\
&= \left\{ g \in G \mid \frac{\mu_n(g)}{\|\mu_n\|_2} > \sqrt{p}\frac{\mu_n(e)}{\|\mu_n\|_2} \right\} \\
&= \{g \in G \mid \mu_n(g) > \sqrt{p}.\mu_n(e)\} \\
&= \left\{ g \in G \mid \frac{\mu_n(g)}{\mu_n(e)} > \sqrt{p} \right\} \\
&= A_{\mu,n,\sqrt{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Numerical experiments (see [16]) have suggested that the choice of p is immaterial, that is, $A_{\mu,n,p}$ contains a Følner sequence for every p. We formulate this as a conjecture.

Conjecture 9.4. *Let G be a finitely generated amenable group with μ a good measure and $S = \mathrm{supp}(\mu)$ finite. Then for (almost) every $p \in (0, 1)$ $A_{\mu,n,p}$ is a Følner sequence.*

The following proposition provides a sufficient condition for proving this.

Proposition 9.5. *If*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\mu_n(e))^2}{\mu_{2n}(e)} |A_{\mu,n,p}| > 0$$

for every $p \in (0,1)$, then $A_{\mu,n,p}$ is a Følner sequence for every p.

Proof. Let $f_n, E_{n,t}$ be defined as in Proposition 9.3 and recall that $\mu_{2n}(e) = \|\mu_n\|_2^2$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\mu_n(e))^2}{\mu_{2n}(e)} |A_{\mu,n,p}| &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\mu_n(e))^2}{\|\mu_n\|_2^2} |A_{\mu,n,p}| \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(e) |E_{n,p^2 f_n(e)}|\end{aligned}$$

Thus the hypothesis becomes that for every $p \in (0,1)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(e) |E_{n,p^2 f_n(e)}| > 0.$$

Now since $|E_{n,t}|$ decreases with t,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{af_n(e)}^{bf_n(e)} |E_{n,t}| dt &> \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{af_n(e)}^{bf_n(e)} |E_{n,bf_n(e)}| dt \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} (b-a) f_n(e) |E_{n,bf_n(e)}| \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

by the hypothesis. Therefore, by the arguments of Proposition 9.3 for every $a, b \in (0,1)$ there exists a sequence t_n such that $t_n \in (af_n(e), bf_n(e))$ and E_{n,t_n} is a Følner sequence. For any given $p \in (0,1)$ we choose a sequence of a and b values that converge to p from below and above respectively and the result follows. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Alain Valette for invaluable suggestions, in particular the ideas to prove Propositions 4.1 and 4.4, and Sasha Fish, Antoine Gournay, Vadim Kaimanovich, Narutaka Ozawa and Anne Thomas for extremely helpful suggestions, references and feedback.

References

[1] C. A. Akemann. Operator algebras associated with Fuchsian groups. *Houston J. Math.*, 7(3):295–301, 1981.

[2] A. Avez. Limite de quotients pour des marches aléatoires sur des groupes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 276:A317–A320, 1973.

[3] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe, and A. Valette. *Kazhdan's property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[4] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod. Fixed points and amenability in non-positive curvature. *Math. Ann.*, 356(4):1303–1337, 2013.

[5] M. Elder, A. Rechnitzer, and E. J. Janse van Rensburg. Random sampling of trivial words in finitely presented groups. *Exp. Math.*, 24(4):391–409, 2015.
[6] A. Erschler. Almost invariance of distributions for random walks on groups. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2016.
[7] E. Følner. On groups with full Banach mean value. *Math. Scand.*, 3:243–254, 1955.
[8] A. Furman. On minimal strongly proximal actions of locally compact groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 136:173–187, 2003.
[9] A. Gournay. Amenable groups. Notes for short course at CIRM Luminy, 2014.
[10] V. A. Kaĭmanovich and A. M. Vershik. Random walks on discrete groups: boundary and entropy. *Ann. Probab.*, 11(3):457–490, 1983.
[11] H. Kesten. Full Banach mean values on countable groups. *Math. Scand.*, 7:146–156, 1959.
[12] H. Kesten. Symmetric random walks on groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 92:336–354, 1959.
[13] A. L. T. Paterson. *Amenability*, volume 29 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
[14] Ch. Pittet and L. Saloff-Coste. On the stability of the behavior of random walks on groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 10(4):713–737, 2000.
[15] H. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman. *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, volume 22 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 2000.
[16] C. Rogers. *On the interconnectedness, via random walks, of cogrowth rates and the Følner functions*. PhD thesis, The University of Newcastle, 2016.
[17] A. Thomas. The Banach-Tarski paradox and amenability. Course Notes, University of Sydney, 2011.
[18] W. Woess. Random walks on infinite graphs and groups—a survey on selected topics. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 26(1):1–60, 1994.

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
E-mail address: Murray.Elder@newcastle.edu.au

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia
E-mail address: Cameron.Rogers@uon.edu.au